Scientific Bulletin
of the Politehnica University of Timisoara, Romania

TRANSACTIONS on ENGINEERING AND MANAGEMENT

Volume 11, Number 1, 2025

Integrating Project Management and Nature-Based Solutions in Urban Ecosystem Planning

Valentin-Mihai VATAV[1], Lenuța-Zamfira HRIHOR[2], Ionel LUNGU[3], Izabela MACARIE[4]

Abstract - Nature-Based Solutions (NBS) have emerged as a vital strategy to enhance urban sustainability by integrating ecological functionality into the built environment. This paper explores the theoretical foundations of urban ecosystem services and the role of NBS in addressing contemporary environmental challenges. Drawing from recent interdisciplinary literature, it examines how structured project management can support the planning, implementation, and long-term success of NBS interventions. The analysis emphasizes the importance of adaptive planning, stakeholder engagement, and hybrid evaluation tools in ensuring ecological and social co-benefits. By integrating project management principles with nature-based approaches, cities can deliver scalable, measurable, and resilient ecosystem services. The findings offer a framework for aligning ecological innovation with institutional capacity, advancing the transition toward sustainable and livable urban futures.

Keywords: Urban ecosystem services, Nature-Based Solutions, project management, green infrastructure, climate resilience, adaptive governance, sustainable cities.

I. INTRODUCTION

Contemporary cities face increasing pressure to adapt to environmental challenges such as climate change, biodiversity loss, and the urban heat island effect. Traditional engineering approaches, while effective in the short term, have often failed to deliver long-lasting, socially inclusive, and ecologically sound results. In this context, Nature-Based Solutions (NBS) have emerged as a strategic alternative that leverages the power of ecosystems to address urban resilience and sustainability [1].

NBS are actions that protect, sustainably manage, and restore natural or modified ecosystems, simultaneously providing human well-being and biodiversity benefits [2]. In urban environments, they manifest through interventions such as green roofs, rain gardens, urban forests, or restored wetlands. These solutions are designed to enhance ecosystem services—including temperature regulation, stormwater management, air purification, and recreational value—thus improving the quality of urban life [3], [4].

Recent policy frameworks at the European level have emphasized the importance of scaling up NBS, highlighting their potential for mitigating climate risks, supporting green economic growth, and fostering social innovation [5]. However, the implementation of NBS at city scale remains fragmented. Often, these initiatives are limited to isolated pilot projects with insufficient institutional support, inadequate integration into urban planning, or a lack of long-term monitoring [6].

To address these limitations, the role of project management becomes increasingly critical. A structured project-based approach enables the coordination of technical, ecological, financial, and social components throughout the life cycle of NBS interventions. Project management methodologies can ensure that ecosystem-based projects meet strategic goals, respect timelines and budgets, and include mechanisms for stakeholder participation and adaptive learning [7], [8].

This paper aims to develop a theoretical and managerial framework for the integration of urban ecosystem services through project-managed NBS. It explores how project management principles can enhance the effectiveness and scalability of such interventions, while considering socio-ecological dynamics and institutional constraints.

By building on recent interdisciplinary research, the paper provides a synthesis of challenges and opportunities in aligning project management with ecosystem-based urban development [9].

[1] Politehnica University of Timisoara, Romania, valentin-mihai.vatav@student.upt.ro
[2] Politehnica University of Timisoara, Romania, lenuta.hrihor@student.upt.ro
[3] Politehnica University of Timisoara, Romania, ionel.lungu@student.upt.ro
[4] Politehnica University of Timisoara, Romania, izabela.macarie@upt.ro

BUPT

II. THEORETICAL BACKGROUND

The conceptual foundation for Nature-Based Solutions (NBS) and urban ecosystem services stems from ecological economics, sustainability science, and adaptive urban governance. At the core lies the understanding that natural systems—if protected, restored, or integrated into the built environment—can provide a wide range of ecosystem services critical for urban sustainability [1], [2].

Urban ecosystem services are categorized into provisioning (e.g., food, water), regulating (e.g., climate, air quality), supporting (e.g., biodiversity, soil formation), and cultural (e.g., aesthetics, recreation) functions [2]. In dense urban settings, regulating and cultural services play a pivotal role in public health, well-being, and resilience against climate-related events. These services are typically mediated through green infrastructure, such as parks, vegetated facades, urban wetlands, and street trees [3].

Nature-Based Solutions, as a policy and scientific concept, advance the idea that these green systems can be purposefully designed to meet both ecological and societal needs. According to the European Commission, NBS are "solutions that are inspired and supported by nature, which are cost-effective, simultaneously provide environmental, social and economic benefits and help build resilience" [2]. They differ from conventional green interventions by explicitly targeting multiple co-benefits, while being adaptable and locally contextualized [4], [5].

Recent typologies of NBS highlight their diversity, ranging from restorative (e.g., river rehabilitation), green retrofitting (e.g., rooftop vegetation), to hybrid solutions combining natural and engineered elements (e.g., permeable pavements) [4], [6]. The effectiveness of these interventions depends not only on ecological factors, but also on governance, spatial planning, and public acceptance [7].

Institutional studies emphasize that NBS are not “plug-and-play” technologies but require integrated planning frameworks, cross-sectoral collaboration, and long-term political commitment [6], [7]. The diffusion of NBS across cities in Europe has been uneven, with countries like Poland showing limited uptake due to governance fragmentation and insufficient coordination between municipal and regional agencies [8].

At the same time, the role of built surfaces (roofs, walls, and facades) is gaining attention, especially in high-density cities. These surfaces offer a latent potential for greening and improving energy efficiency, microclimate regulation, and biodiversity support [3], [9]. This architectural dimension of NBS further demonstrates the interdependence between ecological design and urban engineering.

In summary, NBS represents a convergence of ecological functionality and strategic urban development. Their implementation requires a comprehensive understanding of ecological processes, social systems, and institutional capabilities - making them a fertile ground for applied research and interdisciplinary management approaches.

III. PROJECT MANAGEMENT PERSPECTIVE ON URBAN ECOSYSTEM DEVELOPMENT

Despite the growing interest in Nature-Based Solutions (NBS), their implementation in urban environments remains inconsistent. One of the key challenges lies in the lack of structured project management frameworks tailored to ecological interventions. While traditional infrastructure projects benefit from well-established methodologies, NBS require adaptive and integrative approaches that can account for uncertainty, stakeholder diversity, and multi-dimensional outcomes [1], [2].

Project management offers tools and strategies for organizing resources, managing risks, and aligning objectives across teams and institutions. However, applying these principles to ecosystem-based interventions entails a shift from purely technical logic to a more systems-oriented and participatory approach [3], [4]. Effective NBS projects must integrate ecological complexity with social dynamics and institutional constraints - something that conventional project management often overlooks.

A first step toward this integration is scope definition and stakeholder mapping. Unlike linear infrastructure projects, NBS demand early involvement of local communities, planners, ecologists, and policy makers. Participatory co-design processes improve legitimacy, foster acceptance, and adapt solutions to local needs [1], [5]. For example, green corridor projects implemented in cities like Wrocław have shown better resilience outcomes when stakeholders were involved from the initiation phase [8].

Second, the planning and execution phases must incorporate flexibility and adaptive governance. Ecological processes are inherently dynamic; therefore, project timelines and resource allocations should be designed with feedback loops and contingency planning [6]. This involves iterative development, pilot testing, and monitoring phases that inform real-time decisions, like agile project models adapted from the software industry [7].

Third, performance evaluation poses a unique challenge in NBS projects. While traditional Key Performance Indicators (KPIs) may focus on budget and schedule, ecosystem-based interventions require multi-dimensional metrics: biodiversity gain, microclimate regulation, social cohesion, and aesthetic improvement [3], [9]. The use of hybrid evaluation tools—combining quantitative indicators (e.g., temperature reduction) with qualitative data (e.g., perceived well-being)—is necessary for a holistic assessment [4].

Moreover, financial planning in NBS projects must account for long-term maintenance, adaptive management, and cross-departmental budgeting. Green infrastructure investments often yield indirect benefits that are not captured in standard cost-benefit

BUPT

analyses. Studies show that failing to recognize these values leads to underfunding and limited scalability [2], [7].

In sum, managing NBS as formal projects introduces both opportunities and complexities. A refined project management methodology—one that blends ecological awareness, stakeholder engagement, and adaptive monitoring—is critical to the success of nature-based urban interventions. Such a framework ensures not only that solutions are delivered on time and within scope, but also that they remain resilient, inclusive, and adaptable over time.

IV. DISCUSSION

The integration of Nature-Based Solutions (NBS) into urban development presents a compelling opportunity to address environmental, social, and economic challenges simultaneously. However, the operationalization of these concepts in practice reveals significant barriers related to institutional fragmentation, limited funding frameworks, and insufficient managerial integration [1], [6], [7].

A recurring theme in the literature is the need to move beyond isolated pilot projects and toward mainstream adoption through coordinated strategies. While many cities experiment with green roofs, urban gardens, or wetland restoration, these efforts are often disconnected from broader urban planning processes [2], [4]. Without robust project management tools, the potential of NBS remains underutilized. Long-term planning and post-implementation maintenance are rarely budgeted or monitored appropriately [7].

The role of governance is central to the scalability and impact of NBS. Studies show that cities with clearer institutional mandates and participatory governance structures are more likely to embed NBS into official strategies and regulatory instruments [6]. Conversely, in countries like Poland, where policy fragmentation and lack of vertical integration persist, the uptake of ecosystem services in planning remains low despite available funding [5], [8].

Furthermore, the value of ecosystem services is often underestimated in conventional cost-benefit analyses, which focus on short-term, measurable outcomes such as construction costs or energy savings [7]. Yet, NBS provide long-term co-benefits - like climate resilience, mental health improvements, and community engagement - that require new evaluation frameworks. The incorporation of hybrid metrics (quantitative and qualitative) enables more comprehensive assessments [3], [9].

From a managerial perspective, the shift toward adaptive project models is critical. Static planning processes fail to accommodate the dynamic nature of ecosystems. Instead, agile, feedback-based methods - characterized by iterative development and real-time learning - enhance the resilience and responsiveness of NBS projects [4]. Such approaches also improve stakeholder trust and increase the legitimacy of decisions, particularly when local communities are involved in co-creation [1], [6].

A promising but underexplored area is the use of urban surfaces—walls, roofs, and facades—as ecological assets. Studies highlight the multifunctionality of these spaces, from energy efficiency and biodiversity support to stormwater absorption [3], [9]. Including these features in urban project portfolios could dramatically increase the ecological footprint of NBS with minimal land-use conflicts.

Finally, there is growing recognition that nature-based approaches are not just environmental tools, but also strategic investments in economic transformation. As highlighted by Sorrell [7], the transition toward green growth demands integrated planning, systemic thinking, and a willingness to invest beyond short-term political cycles. Project managers, therefore, play a crucial role not only in delivery, but also in framing NBS as drivers of long-term urban sustainability.

V. FIVE BEST PRACTICES OF NATURE-BASED SOLUTIONS PROJECTS IN ROMANIA

In this chapter shall be discussed five NBS projects in Romania demonstrating the efforts of the local authorities for implementing such initiatives for mitigating the climate change effects in the cities or metropolitan areas.

1. Văcărești Natural Park Urban Wetland Management, located in Bucharest, Romania. This park represents Romania's first urban nature park, a 183-hectare area just 5 kilometers from Bucharest's city center. This former abandoned reservoir has naturally evolved into a flourishing urban wetland over three decades, providing cost-effective ecosystem services in the heart of Romania's capital.

The best practices demonstrated by this urban nature park are:

- Community-led conservation - The Văcărești Nature Park Association successfully advocated for protected status (achieved in 2016) and developed a volunteer-based management system that engages local citizens in conservation efforts;
- Urban biodiversity monitoring - The park implements systematic monitoring of over 150 bird species, numerous mammals, reptiles, and amphibians, creating a valuable biodiversity database in an urban context;
- Educational programming - Regular guided tours and educational programs for schools' help raise awareness about urban ecology and the importance of wetlands for climate resilience;
- Water management - The wetland serves as a natural water retention area, helping

BUPT

to mitigate urban flooding while supporting diverse ecosystems.

2. Sustainable Forest Management in the Carpathian Mountains, located in the Carpathian Mountains, Romania. As is already known, Romania hosts some of Europe's largest areas of relatively untouched forests, particularly in the Carpathian Mountains. Several projects have implemented sustainable forestry practices that balance conservation with economic needs.

The best practices demonstrated by these NBS projects are:

- Continuous cover forestry - Moving away from clear-cutting to selective harvesting that maintains forest cover and structure, preserving ecosystem functions while still producing timber;
- Deadwood retention - Deliberately leaving dead trees and fallen logs in managed forests to support biodiversity, with Romania's projects demonstrating that 30-40 cubic meters of deadwood per hectare significantly increases forest biodiversity;
- Forest certification - Implementation of Forest Stewardship Council (FSC) certification across over 2.8 million hectares of Romanian forests, ensuring sustainable management practices;
- Old-growth Forest protection - Identification and special protection measures for Romania's remaining old-growth forests, which serve as biodiversity hotspots and carbon sinks.

3. Danube Floodplain Restoration is in the Lower Danube River, Romania. In a brief description, Romanian authorities have implemented several floodplain restoration projects along the Danube River, reconnecting former floodplains to the river system to restore natural flood protection and enhance biodiversity.

The collection of best practices underlined by these NBS projects are:

- Dike relocation - Strategic relocation of dikes to give rivers more room, creating space for natural flooding processes while protecting settlements;
- Wetland restoration - Rehabilitation of approximately 7,000 hectares of wetlands along the Danube, restoring natural water retention capacity;
- Cross-border collaboration - Joint management with neighboring countries (Bulgaria, Ukraine) for coherent floodplain restoration along the Lower Danube Green Corridor;
- Monitoring ecosystem services - Systematic assessment of multiple benefits including flood protection, improved water quality, enhanced biodiversity, and carbon sequestration.

4. Urban Blue-Green Infrastructure in Romanian Cities are in multiple cities across Romania. Furthermore, several Romanian cities have implemented integrated blue-green infrastructure projects to address urban challenges related to stormwater management, urban heat islands, and biodiversity loss.

The best practices demonstrated by this urban nature park are:

- Permeable surfaces - Replacement of impermeable surfaces with permeable alternatives in urban areas to reduce runoff and enhance groundwater recharge;
- Rain gardens and bioswales - Strategic implementation in urban areas to capture and filter stormwater while creating aesthetic green spaces;
- Green roofs and walls - Installation on public buildings to reduce energy consumption, mitigate urban heat island effects, and support biodiversity;
- Integrated planning - Coordination between water management, urban planning, and environmental departments to develop comprehensive blue-green infrastructure networks.

5. Ecological Connectivity in the Carpathian Region is in the Carpathian Mountains, Romania. Local and central authorities of Romania together with non-governmental organizations have implemented several projects to maintain and restore ecological connectivity in the Carpathian region, ensuring wildlife movement and ecosystem resilience.

The collection of best practices underlined by these NBS projects are:

- Wildlife corridors - Identification and protection of critical wildlife corridors, particularly for large carnivores like bears, wolves, and lynx that require extensive territories;
- Green bridge construction - Implementation of wildlife overpasses and underpasses at key transportation infrastructure to reduce habitat fragmentation and wildlife-vehicle collisions;
- Participatory mapping - Engagement of local communities and stakeholders in identifying and protecting ecological corridors through participatory mapping exercises;
- Cross-sectoral planning - Integration of ecological connectivity considerations into transportation, agriculture, forestry, and urban planning sectors.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

Nature-Based Solutions (NBS) offer an integrative and sustainable pathway to address the ecological,

BUPT

social, and economic challenges of contemporary urban environments. This paper explored the theoretical foundations of urban ecosystem services and NBS, examined their application in real-world contexts, and emphasized the role of structured project management in enhancing their effectiveness.

The review of the literature reveals that while the ecological benefits of NBS are well documented - ranging from climate regulation to mental health and biodiversity support - their implementation remains hindered by fragmented institutional arrangements, limited evaluation capacity, and lack of strategic integration into urban development plans [2], [5], [6].

Integrating project management methodologies into the lifecycle of NBS interventions addresses many of these challenges. It provides a structured framework for stakeholder coordination, budgeting, adaptive planning, and performance monitoring. This approach improves not only operational efficiency, but also the legitimacy, durability, and scalability of ecosystem-based interventions [1], [4], [8].

A key insight is that effective NBS management requires multi-disciplinary collaboration and the adoption of hybrid evaluation tools that capture both tangible and intangible outcomes. Moreover, new urban opportunities - such as the ecological redesign of walls, rooftops, and facades - should be systematically included in planning efforts [3], [9].

For long-term transformation, NBS must be recognized not just as environmental solutions but as strategic investments in climate resilience, social inclusion, and green economic growth. This requires a shift in both political vision and institutional practice. Project managers can play a pivotal role in this transition by championing integrative approaches and by embedding sustainability goals throughout the project lifecycle [7].

In conclusion, embedding project management into the development of urban ecosystem services through NBS creates a powerful synergy. It ensures that nature-based interventions are not only visionary, but also deliverable, measurable, and adaptable - qualities essential for building truly resilient and livable cities.

REFERENCES

[1] N. Seddon, A. Chausson, E. A. Berry, C. A. Girardin, A. Smith, and B. Turner, "Getting the message right on nature-based solutions to climate change," *Global Change Biology*, vol. 27, no. 8, pp. 1518–1546, 2021.

[2] N. Kabisch, H. Korn, J. Stadler, and A. Bonn, *Nature-Based Solutions to Climate Change Adaptation in Urban Areas: Linkages Between Science, Policy and Practice*. Springer, 2020.

[3] J. N. Wong, S. Jusuf, and L. Zhang, "Greenery as a mitigation and adaptation strategy to urban heat," *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, vol. 147, 2021.

[4] J. Gao, H. Wang, and T. Zhang, "Urban nature-based solutions for climate adaptation: A typology and mapping framework," *Science of the Total Environment*, vol. 774, 2021.

[5] J. Kronenberg et al., "A brief overview of the current state of NBS implementation in Poland," in *Nature-Based Solutions to Climate Change Adaptation in Urban Areas*, Springer, 2020, pp. 245–259.

[6] H. Bulkeley, V. Castán Broto, and M. Edwards, "Governing urban nature-based solutions: An institutional analysis," *Science of the Total Environment*, vol. 755, 2021.

[7] S. Sorrell, "Pathways to net zero: the role of green investments and systemic transition," *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, vol. 156, 2022.

[8] V. Marando et al., "Nature-based solutions for flood mitigation and their effectiveness in urban planning," *Science of the Total Environment*, vol. 834, 2022.

[9] D. Enzi et al., "Nature-based solutions and buildings – the power of surfaces," in *Nature-Based Solutions to Climate Change Adaptation in Urban Areas*, Springer, 2020, pp. 161–173.

BUPT